Agenda	Topic	Decision
Item No		

Note: this decision list is for guidance only. The text of the minutes, which may be different, is definitive.

Part A – Items considered in public

A1	APPLICATION FOR A VARIATION TO A PREMISES LICENCE UNDER SECTION 34 OF THE LICENSING ACT 2003 ("the Act").	PREMISES North Street Convenient Stores 17 Hainault Road Romford RM5 3AA DETAILS OF APPLICATION Application for a variation to a premis ("the Act"). APPLICANT Mr Rajalingam Easwaralingam 17 Hainault Road Romford RM5 3AA	ses licence under	section 34 of the Li	censing Act 2003
		Details of the application The current premises licence	e conditions		1
		Supply of Alcohol Day	Start	Finish	
		Sunday to Thursday	07:00	20:00	-
		Friday & Saturday	07:00	22:00	-

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision		
		The application seeks to permit the following:		
		Supply of alcohol: hours premises open to the public		
		DayStartFinishMonday to Sunday07:0023:00		
		Worlday to Gariday		
		2. Promotion of the Licensing Objectives		
		The applicant completed the operating schedule, which formed part of the application, to promote the four licensing objectives. The applicant acted in accordance with regulations 25 and 26 of the pertinent regulations governing the advertising of such applications. The required public notice was installed in the 28 February 2014 edition of the Romford Recorder.		
		3. Details of Representations		
		Valid representations may only address the four licensing objectives		
		 The prevention of crime and disorder The prevention of public nuisance The protection of children from harm Public Safety. There was one representation against this application from an interested party.		

Agenda Item No	Торіс	Decision			
		There were no representations against this application from responsible authorities.			
		Responsible Authorities			
		Metropolitan Police: None			
	London Fire & Emergency Planning Authority ("LFEPA"): None.				
		Planning Control & Enforcement: None.			
		Children & Families Service: None			
		Trading Standards Service: None			
		The Magistrates Court: None			
		Interested parties' representation			
		The representation against this application was based upon the prevention of public nuisance licensing objective.			
		Mr Scutcher attended the hearing. He addressed the Sub-Committee stating that they lived next door to the premises, and that the area was mainly a residential area which contained many young families. He stated that extended hours would cause noise disturbance from people going in and out of the premises and in addition the slamming of car doors of people using the shop late in the evening. Mr Scutcher added that his representations at the application hearing in 2012 were still valid. He was of the opinion that the reasons for the restriction of licensed hours granted at that time were still relevant today and as such			

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
		requested that the sub-committee consider the residents that live nearby to the premises.
		In response to a question, Mr Scutcher informed the sub-committee that parking in the area was mainly resident parking.
		4. Applicant's response.
		Mr Stewart Gibson, representative to the applicant responded to the representation from the interested party.
		He stated that the applicant needed these hours in order to get parity with other competitors in the area. That the variation hours applied for would bring the premises licensable hours in line with its intended trading hours.
		Mr Gibson was of the opinion that a representation under the prevention of public nuisance should be based on fact but there was no evidence of any nuisance caused by, or any complaints about, the premises.
		The sub-committee was informed that the premises was situated on a one-way street and as such it would not be feasible and practical for customers to drive to the premises. He stated that most of its customers visited on foot.
		Mr Gibson was of the view that as no other interested party had objected to this application, that the representation made by Mr Scutcher meant it was only he who objected to the variation, it was not made on behalf of any others in the vicinity.
		In response to questioning, Mr Gibson informed the sub-committee that the post office attracted business until 17:30 hours Monday to Friday and 12:30 hours on Saturday, the post office had now been upgraded to a main branch and as such would now operate till 17:30 hours on a Saturday.

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
		5. Determination of Application
		Decision
		Following the hearing held on 7 April 2014, the Sub-Committee's decision regarding the application for a Premises Licence for North Street Convenient Stores, 17 Hainault Road, Romford, RM5 3AA was as set out below, for the reasons shown:
		The Sub-Committee was obliged to determine this application with a view to promoting the licensing objectives, which are:
		The prevention of crime and disorder
		Public safety
		The prevention of public nuisance
		The protection of children from harm
		In making its decision, the Sub-Committee also had regard to the Guidance issued under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 and Havering's Licensing Policy.
		In addition, the Sub-Committee took account of its obligations under s17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998, and Articles 1 and 8 of the First Protocol of the Human Rights Act 1998.
		Facts/Issues Whether the granting of the premises licence would undermine the four licensing objectives.

Agenda Item No	Торіс		Decision
		The prevention of public nuisance	The representation made by Mr Scutcher expressed concern that extending the licensable hours of the premises would lead to noise from people going in and out of the premises and in addition noise from cars and doors closing.
			In reply the subcommittee heard from the applicant's representative that most of the customers to the shop came on foot as the location of the premises on a one way street made it unpractical to drive to the shop and parking in the area was generally resident parking.
			The sub-committee noted that the applicant was seeking parity with other licensed premises in the locality and that no complaints had been made against the venue.
			The sub-committee also noted that the premises was able to trade until 23:00 hours, and this application was to bring its licensable hours in line with that.
			The Sub-Committee stated that in arriving at this decision, it took into consideration the licensing objectives as contained in the Licensing Act 2003, the Licensing Guidelines as well as Havering Council's Licensing Policy.
		nuisance against the	lecided that based on the fact that there was no evidence of public premises, nor any suggestion that this application would lead to public ed to grant the application for the following trading hours:

Agenda tem No	Topic	Decision			
1					
		Supply of alcoh	ol: hours premises	open to the public	7
		Day	Start	Finish	
		Monday to Sur			
			07:00	23:00	
		O District Assessed			
		6. Right of Appeal			
		Any party to the decision or	anyone who has mad	e a relevant representation	on [including a
		responsible authority or inte			
		Magistrates' Court within 21	days of notification of	the decision. On appea	l, the
		Magistrates' Court may:			
		1. dismiss the appeal;	or		
			on for another decision	n which could have been	made by the
		Sub Committee; or 3. remit the case to the	Sub Committee to dis	spose of it in accordance	with the direction

of the Court; and

make an order for costs as it sees fit.

4.